1		
2	Yolanda Huang (State Bar No. 104543) LAW OFFICES OF YOLANDA HUANG	
3	2748 Adeline Street, Suite A Berkeley, California 94705	
4	Telephone: (510) 329-2140	
	Facsimile: (510) 580-9410 E-Mail: yhuang.law@gmail.com	
5	Thomas E. Nanney (State Bar No. 214342)	
6	Law Office of Thomas E. Nanney	
7	2217 W. 120th St. Leawood, Kansas 66209	
8	Telephone: (816) 401-0047	
9	Email: tomnanney@gmail.com	
10	Richard A. Brody, Esq. (State Bar No. 100379)	
11	1206 Beattie Lane Sebastopol, CA 95472	
12	Telephone: (707) 235-6982	
13	Facsimile: (707) 235-6982 Email: rick@brodylaw.com	
14	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND DIVISION	
18	ALAMEDA COUNTY MALE PRISONERS	No. 3:19-cv-07423 JSC
19	And Former Prisoners, DANIEL GONZALEZ,	DI AINTHEEC DECDONCE TO
20	et al. on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, <u>as a Class</u> , and <u>Subclass</u>	PLAINTIFFS RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT WELLPATH'S
	PLAINTIFFS,	REQUEST TO ENLARGE TIME
21	vs.	Hon. Jacqueline S. Corley, Presiding
22	ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S	Tion. sucqueime S. Correy, Trestaing
23	OFFICE, et al	
24	DEFENDANTS.	
25	PENDING DATES	
26	Fact discovery cutoff is November 16, 2023 (ECF 316). Pursuant to this Court's standing civil	
27	order, the last date to notice depositions is October 17, 2023, pursuant to this Court's standing civil order	
28		

PROCEDURAL HISTORY.

This Court ordered (ECF 328) defendant Wellpath to identify which of its 130 witnesses named in its initial disclosures have an independent recollection of Plaintiffs and the nature of that independent recollection. The Court gave defendant Wellpath till October 9, 2023 to respond.

Defendant Wellpath on October 6, 2023, filed an administrative motion requesting two additional days to respond.

PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST

Plaintiffs have been seeking this additional information from defendant Wellpath to better inform Plaintiffs as to which of the 130 potential witnesses listed in defendant Wellpath's initial disclosures, plaintiffs should depose. Plaintiffs do not otherwise object to defendant Wellpath's request to enlarge time to respond except to express concern that the pending deadline to notice depositions is fast approaching. Therefore, if the Court is inclined to grant defendant Wellpath's request to enlarge time, plaintiffs would ask that this Court waive the deadline for noticing depositions.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 8, 2023. YOLANDA HUANG

By: <u>/s/ Yolanda Huang</u> Yolanda Huang

THOMAS E. NANNEY

By: <u>/s/ Thomas E. Nanney</u> Thomas E. Nanney

RICHARD A. BRODY

By: <u>/s/ Richard A. Brody</u> Richard A. Brody

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS